Practitioner's Docket No.	42430-10471	•	PATI
Flactitioner 5 bocket 1101			

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND

In re application of: Robert Brian Dianda

Application No.: 09 / 825,623

Group No.: 2661

Filed: April 3, 2001

Examiner:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TRANSFERRING ATM SERVICES

**Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

		STATUS	SINQUIRY
V	VARINING:	Submission of a status letter after a No in patent term adjustment under 37 C. 111–112, June 26, 2001.	otice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 20 <b>01,</b> 1247 OG
	More th	nan <u>41</u> months have passe	ed since
	⊠ 1	NEW APPLICATIONS	
	t	the filing of this application on .	April 3, 2001
	i		ceived from the Patent and Trademark Office
		AMENDED APPLICATIONS	•
	1	the filing of a response on	•
	.[	the state of the s	been received from the Patent and Trademark
		APPEALED APPLICATION	
		The Appeal Brief was filed	on
		(When using Express Mail, the Exp	37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*  bress Mail label number is mandatory;  rtification is optional.)
h	ereby certif	y that, on the date shown below, this	correspondence is being:
			AILING
S		with the United States Postal Service ir, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
		37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
Ķ]	with suffic		□ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No
			ISMISSION
3	facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Tradema	
	•		marian & mush
	1.	,	Signature
Dа	te:Sept:	9 2004	Mariann R. Murphy
	- ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(type or print name of person certifying)
		•	Abber at lateral community at lateral control of the control of th

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 1 of 3)

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below)
An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on ☐ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on
2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.
NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:
"NEW APPLICATION
"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.
"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.
"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.
"AMENDED APPLICATIONS
"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filling of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reginald J. Hill

(type or print name of practitioner)

Jenner & Block LLP

One IBM Plaza

P.O. Address

Chicago, Illinois 60611

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

Reg. No.: 39,225

Tel. No.: (312)222-9350

Customer No.: 02574

9.	_	1	3

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLICA	OITA	N SERIAL NO. 09/ <u>825,623</u>	IS CURRENTLY
	ASS	SIGNED TO GROUP	AND AWAITS:
		ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.	
		APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO TH	IE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
ΔDDΕΔΙ	Ν̈́Ο		···
AFFEAL			
	IS A		OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER-
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED	•
		DECISION EXPECTED	•